

EXHIBIT 10

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BROWNSVILLE DIVISION**

STATE OF TEXAS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No. 18-cv-00068

KIRSTJEN M. NIELSEN, *et al.*,

Defendants,

and

KARLA PEREZ, *et al.*,

Defendant-Intervenors.

**FEDERAL DEFENDANTS' SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO
DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS' THIRD SET OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS**

TO: Defendant-Intervenors, by and through their attorneys of record, Nina Perales, Celina Moreno, Jack Salmon, Alejandra Avila, Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund, 110 Broadway, Suite 300, San Antonio, Texas 78205; Carlos Moctezuma García, García & García, Attorneys at Law P.L.L.C., P.O. Box 4545 McAllen, Texas 78502.

Federal Defendants serve these supplemental and responses to Defendant-Intervenors' third set of interrogatories and requests for production of documents pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Federal Defendants maintain and incorporate by reference Federal Defendants' previous objections to Defendant-Intervenors' Third Set of Discovery Requests except those based on the scope of expedited discovery ordered by the Court for purposes of litigating Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS'
THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 3

Please identify the number of initial DACA applications received by the Department of Homeland Security from each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018, and identify, of that number:

- a. the number of initial DACA applications approved by the Department of Homeland Security from each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018.
- b. the number of initial DACA applications denied by the Department of Homeland Security from each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018.
- c. the number of initial DACA applications rejected by the Department of Homeland Security from each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3

Subject to previously raised objections, see revised attached chart entitled, “United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), I-821D, Consideration of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals, DACA Initials, Accepted Filings, Rejections, Approvals, and Denials by Month and Selected State, August 15, 2012 – June 22, 2018,” which now includes data for the state of Mississippi. USCIS began accepting initial DACA requests on August 15, 2012, therefore USCIS is not able to provide data on DACA initial requests from June 2012 to August 15, 2012. The data provided in response to this Interrogatory is approximate data and reflects best available information to USCIS at the time the data report was run.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4

Please identify the number of renewal DACA applications received by the Department of Homeland Security from each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018, and identify, of that number:

- a. the number of renewal DACA applications approved by the Department of Homeland Security from each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018.
- b. the number of renewal DACA applications denied by the Department of Homeland Security from each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018.

c. the number of renewal DACA applications rejected by the Department of Homeland Security from each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4

Subject to previously raised objections, see revised attached chart entitled, “United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), I-821D, Consideration of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals, DACA Initials, Accepted Filings, Rejections, Approvals, and Denials by Month and Selected State, August 15, 2012 – June 22, 2018,” which now includes data for the state of Mississippi. USCIS began accepting initial DACA requests on August 15, 2012, therefore USCIS is not able to provide data on DACA initial requests from June 2012 to August 15, 2012. The data provided in response to this Interrogatory is approximate data and reflects best available information to USCIS at the time the data report was run.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9

Please identify the number of DACA initial applications USCIS has accepted and denied from each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018, and identify, from among that number:

- a. the number of DACA applications denied at least in part because the applicant was a suspected gang member, from each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018.
- b. the number of DACA applications denied at least in part because the applicant posed a threat to national security or public safety, from each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018.
- c. the number of DACA applications denied after the adjudicator determined the applicant met the five criteria outlined in the 2012 DACA memorandum.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9

Subject to previously raised objections, Federal Defendants refer to Federal Defendants’ original response, and the attached revised chart entitled, “United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), I-821D, Consideration of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals, DACA Initials, Denials by Month and Selected State, August 15, 2012 - June 22, 2018,” which now includes the state of Mississippi. The data provided in response to this Interrogatory is approximate data and reflects best available information to USCIS at the time the data report was run.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10

Please identify the number of DACA renewal applications USCIS has accepted and denied from each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018, and identify, from among that number:

- a. the number of DACA applications denied at least in part because the applicant was a suspected gang member, from each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018.
- b. the number of DACA applications denied at least in part because the applicant posed a threat to national security or public safety, from each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018.
- c. the number of DACA applications denied after the adjudicator determined the applicant met the five criteria outlined in the 2012 DACA memorandum.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10

Subject to previously raised objections, see revised attached chart entitled, “United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), I-821D, Consideration of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals, DACA Initials, Accepted Filings, Rejections, Approvals, and Denials by Month and Selected State, August 15, 2012 – June 22, 2018,” which now includes data for the state of Mississippi. The data provided in response to this Interrogatory is approximate data and reflects best available information to USCIS at the time the data report was run.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11

Please identify the number of initial DACA applications USCIS has accepted and subsequently issued Requests for Evidence (“RFEs”) from each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018, and identify, from among that number:

- a. the number of RFEs related to whether the applicant was under the age of 31 as of June 15, 2012;
- b. the number of RFEs related to whether the applicant came to the United States before reaching his or her 16th birthday;
- c. the number of RFEs related to whether the applicant has continuously resided in the United States since June 15, 2007, up to the time of application;
- d. the number of RFEs related to whether the applicant was physically present in the United States on June 15, 2012, and at the time of making the request for consideration of deferred action;
- e. the number of RFEs related to whether the applicant had no lawful status on June 15,

2012;

f. the number of RFEs related to whether the applicant was currently in school, graduated or obtained a certificate of completion from high school, obtained a general education development (GED) certificate, or was an honorably discharged veteran of the Coast Guard or Armed Forces of the United States;

g. the number of RFEs related to whether the applicant had not been convicted of a felony, significant misdemeanor, or three or more other misdemeanors, and did not otherwise pose a threat to national security or public safety.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11

Subject to previously raised objections, see revised attached chart entitled, “United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), I-821D, Consideration of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals, DACA Initials, Accepted Filings, Rejections, Approvals, and Denials by Month and Selected State, August 15, 2012 – June 22, 2018,” which now includes data for the state of Mississippi. The data provided in response to this Interrogatory is approximate data and reflects best available information to USCIS at the time the data report was run.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12

Please identify the number of renewal DACA applications USCIS has accepted and subsequently issued Requests for Evidence (“RFEs”) from each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018, and identify, from among that number:

a. the number of RFEs related to whether the applicant was under the age of 31 as of June 15, 2012;

b. the number of RFEs related to whether the applicant came to the United States before reaching his or her 16th birthday;

c. the number of RFEs related to whether the applicant has continuously resided in the United States since June 15, 2007, up to the time of application;

d. the number of RFEs related to whether the applicant was physically present in the United States on June 15, 2012, and at the time of making the request for consideration of deferred action;

e. the number of RFEs related to whether the applicant had no lawful status on June 15, 2012;

f. the number of RFEs related to whether the applicant was currently in school, graduated or obtained a certificate of completion from high school, obtained a general education development (GED) certificate, or was an honorably discharged veteran of the Coast Guard or Armed Forces of the United States;

g. the number of RFEs related to whether the applicant had not been convicted of a felony, significant misdemeanor, or three or more other misdemeanors, and did not otherwise pose a threat to national security or public safety.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12

Subject to previously raised objections, see revised attached chart entitled, “United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), I-821D, Consideration of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals, DACA Initials, Accepted Filings, Rejections, Approvals, and Denials by Month and Selected State, August 15, 2012 – June 22, 2018,” which now includes data for the state of Mississippi. The data provided in response to this Interrogatory is approximate data and reflects best available information to USCIS at the time the data report was run.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13

Please identify the number of approved, initial DACA applications submitted by individuals who indicated on their I-821D, in response to Part 3. Question 4., that their immigration status on June 15, 2012 was “Status Expired” or “Parole Expired,” and who answered in the affirmative in response to Part 3. Question 5.a. (“Were you EVER issued an Arrival-Departure Record”), for each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13

Subject to previously raised objections, Federal Defendants refer to the attached revised chart entitled, “United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), I-821D, Consideration of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals, DACA Initials, Requests with "Yes" marked in Part 3 Question 5a "Were you EVER issued an Arrival-Departure Record" by Month and Selected State, November 1, 2015 - June 22, 2018,” which provides information only from ELIS, and now includes data for the state of Mississippi. The data provided in response to this Interrogatory is approximate data and reflects best available information to USCIS at the time the data report was run.

INTERROGATORY NO. 14

Please identify the number of DACA recipients who adjusted their status to lawful permanent resident, for each Plaintiff State for each month during the period of June 2012 to June 2018, and identify among that number:

- a. the number of DACA recipients who adjusted under 8 U.S.C. 1255(i)
- b. the number of DACA recipients who adjusted under 8 U.S.C. 1255(a)

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14

Subject to previously raised objections, Federal Defendants refer to the attached revised chart entitled, “United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), I-821D,

Consideration of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals, I-485, Application to Register Permanent Residence of Adjust Status, DACA Recipients who Adjusted Status by Date of Adjustment and Selected State, August 15, 2012 - June 22, 2018,” which now includes data for the state of Mississippi. The data provided in response to this Interrogatory is approximate data and reflects best available information to USCIS at the time the data report was run.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS'
THIRD SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

Produce all documents relating to guidelines followed by DHS, and any of its subsidiary agencies, departments, agents, and employees, to evaluate, process, and adjudicate Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (“DACA”) applications, including, but not limited to: (1) internal agency documents, memoranda, and communications that set forth any guidance, rules, or procedures that agents and employees follow when they evaluate, process, and adjudicate DACA applications; (2) training materials provided to agents or employees related to DACA evaluations and adjudications; and (3) changes following January 20, 2017 in any guidance, rules, or procedures that agents and employees follow when they evaluate, process, and adjudicate DACA applications.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

Subject to previously raised objections, Federal Defendants previously produced documents Bates numbered DEF00000001 – DEF00008159. Federal Defendants now produce a chart to identify which documents are responsive to this request for production.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

Produce all documents relating to the process by which DHS, and any of its subsidiary agencies, departments, agents, and employees, evaluates, processes, and adjudicates DACA applications, including but not limited to: (1) under what circumstances a DACA application is rejected, denied, or approved; (2) the number and location of agents and employees whose work relates to the evaluation and adjudication of DACA applications; (3) the procedure(s) employed by agents and employees who work in the evaluation and adjudication of DACA applications; and (4) changes in the process for evaluating, processing, and adjudicating DACA applications following January 20, 2017.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

Subject to previously raised objections, Federal Defendants previously produced documents Bates numbered DEF00000001 – DEF00008159. Federal Defendants now produce a chart to identify which documents are responsive to this request for production.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

Produce all documents relating to process by which DHS, and any of its subsidiary agencies, departments, agents, and employees, evaluates and adjudicates applications or requests for deferred action other than DACA, including but not limited to: (1) under what circumstances an application or request is rejected, denied, or accepted; (2) the number and location of agents and employees whose work relates to the evaluation and adjudication of deferred action applications or requests; (3) and the procedure(s) employed by agents and employees who work in the

evaluation and adjudication of deferred action applications or requests; and (4) any changes in the process by which DHS evaluates and adjudicates applications or requests for deferred action other than DACA since January 20, 2017.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

Subject to previously raised objections, Federal Defendants previously produced documents Bates numbered DEF00000001 – DEF00008159. Federal Defendants now produce a chart to identify which documents are responsive to this request for production.

Dated: February 20, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH H. HUNT

Assistant Attorney General

Civil Division

BRETT A. SHUMATE

Deputy Assistant Attorney General

WILLIAM C. PEACHEY

Director, Office of Immigration Litigation

District Court Section

/s/ Jeffrey S. Robins

JEFFREY S. ROBINS

Attorney-in-Charge

Deputy Director

U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division

Office of Immigration Litigation

District Court Section

P.O. Box 868, Washington, DC 20044

Telephone: (202) 616-1246

Facsimile: (202) 305-7000

jeffrey.robins@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Federal Defendants